



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	MS137774.1/40062.127US01 2620		
09/919,186	07/30/2001	David R. Tarditi			
7590 10/06/2003			EXAMINER		
Homer L. Kno		EHICHIOYA, FRED I			
Merchant & Gould P.C. P.O. Box 2903			ART UNIT PAPER NUMB		
	MN 55402-0903		2172		
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				PAG			
	Application	No.	Applicant(s)	7			
	09/919,186		TARDITI, DAVID R.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Fred I. Ehich		2172				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event y within the statuto will apply and will e , cause the applica	, however, may a reply be tim ry minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from i ation to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timel the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ Th	nis action is n	on-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims AVM Claim(a) 1 22 is/are pending in the application	20						
 4) Claim(s) 1 - 33 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 		sideration					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	wir nom cons	,					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 33</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election rec	uirement					
Application Papers	or cicolion rec	qui omoni.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	pted or b) o	bjected to by the Exa	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ıreau (PCT F	Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional app	lication has been red	eived.				
Attachment(s)			1— 11				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	:		/ (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (P				

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 - 33 are pending in this office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 5, 11 – 15, 16 – 18, 24, 26, 29, 30, 31 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,308,319 issued to William Bush et al (hereinafter "Bush") in view of U.S. Patent 6,185,581 issued to Alexander T. Garthwaite (hereinafter "Garthwaite").

Regarding claims 1, 11, 14, 24, 29 and 31, Bush a computer program product encoding a computer program for executing on a computer system a computer process for building compact garbage collection tables adapted for use in reclaiming memory from a heap during runtime, the computer process comprising:

generating a first call site table storing call site identifiers (see column 4, lines 48 -57);

Art Unit: 2172

generating a final descriptor table storing a set of unique descriptors, at least one unique descriptor describing a location of a pointer into the heap (see Fig.1 and column 4, lines 18 – 25); and

Bush does not explicitly teach generating a descriptor reference table associated with the first call site table, each entry in the descriptor reference table mapping a call site identifier in the first call site table to one of the unique descriptors in the final descriptor table.

Garthwaite teaches generating a descriptor reference table associated with the first call site table, each entry in the descriptor reference table mapping a call site identifier in the first call site table to one of the unique descriptors in the final descriptor table (see column Fig.6; column 7, lines 16 - 25 and column 14, lines 63 - 67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Garthwaite with the teaching of Bush for descriptor table to include summary of its associated references to call site table. This enables the Garbage collector to consider which object is reachable and unreachable. As a result garbage collector is able to clear unusable memory.

Regarding claims 2, 12 and 15, Garthwaite teaches wherein at least two call site identifiers are mapped to the same unique descriptor in the final descriptor table (see column 6, lines 60 - 65 and column 12, lines 6 - 12).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/919,186

Art Unit: 2172

Regarding claims 3, 13, 16, 26, 30 and 33, Garthwaite teaches the final descriptor table contains no identical descriptors (see column 12, lines 43 – 46).

Regarding claim 4 and 17, Garthwaite teaches the operation of generating a first call site table comprises: storing return addresses for one or more call sites into the call site table (see Fig.17a steps 180, 182, 184 and column 16, lines 5 – 32).

Regarding claims 5 and 18, Garthwaite teaches the operation of generating a final descriptor table comprises:

generating an initial descriptor table including at least two identical descriptors, each descriptor in the initial descriptor table corresponding with a call site identifier in the call site table (see column 12, lines 6 - 12);

copying each descriptor from the initial descriptor table to the final descriptor table, if the descriptor is not identical to another descriptor already copied to the final descriptor table (see column 12, lines 38 – 46).

Art Unit: 2172

3. Claims 6 – 10, 19 –23, 25, 27, 28 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bush in view Garthwaite in further in view of U.S. Patent 5,848,423 issued to Zahir Ebrahim et al (hereinafter "Ebrahim").

Regarding claims 6 and 19, Bush teaches the operation of generating a descriptor reference table comprises:

generating a table pair including a second call site table and an initial descriptor table, the initial descriptor table storing descriptors that include at least two identical descriptors (see column 5, lines 53 - 60);

sorting the table pair based on the descriptors in the initial descriptor table to provide a sorted table pair (see column 4, lines 18 - 28);

Bush or Garthwaite does not explicitly teach traversing sequentially through the descriptors in the sorted table pair to associate a reference to each call site in the second call site table, the reference being modified when a unique descriptor is encountered in the initial descriptor table;

identifying each call site identifier in the second call site table to which each reference is associated; and storing each reference into the descriptor reference table in association with the call site identifier identified in the identifying operation.

However, Ebrahim teaches traversing sequentially through the descriptors in the sorted table pair to associate a reference to each call site in the second call site table, the reference being modified when a unique descriptor is encountered in the initial descriptor table (see column 4, lines 63 - 66);

Art Unit: 2172

identifying each call site identifier in the second call site table to which each reference is associated; and storing each reference into the descriptor reference table in association with the call site identifier identified in the identifying operation (see column 3, lines 40-42).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Garthwaite and Ebrahim with the teaching of Bush for descriptor table to include summary of its associated references to call site table. This enables the Garbage collector to consider which object is reachable and unreachable. As a result garbage collector is able to clear unusable memory.

Regarding claims 7 and 20, Bush teaches the reference is an ordinal identifier of unique descriptors being processed during the traversing operation (see column 3, lines 47 - 50).

Regarding claims 8 and 21, Garthwaite teaches the traversing operation comprises: incrementing the ordinal identifier when a unique descriptor is encountered in the initial descriptor table (see column 15, lines 28 – 32).

Regarding claims 9, 22 and 28, Ebrahim teaches the reference includes a pointer one of the unique descriptors stored in the final descriptor table (see column 5, lines 41 - 44 and column 13, lines 4 - 11).

Art Unit: 2172

Regarding claims 10 and 23, Garthwaite teaches the traversing operation comprises:

designating as the reference a new pointer to one of the unique descriptors in the final descriptor table when a unique descriptor is encountered in the initial descriptor table (see column 10, lines 40 - 46).

Regarding claims 25 and 32, Ebrahim teaches the descriptor is mapped to at least two call site identifiers in the call site table (see column 13, lines 1-3).

Regarding claim 27, Ebrahim teaches the descriptor reference includes an index into the descriptor table (see column 9, lines 15 - 23).

Page 7

Page 8

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred I. Ehichioya whose telephone number is 703-305-8039. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Y. Vu can be reached on 703-305-4393. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-303-3900.

Fred Ehichioya October 1, 2003

SHAHID ALAMNER